

Advancements in Novel Materials, Methods and Technologies for Oral and Maxillofacial Bone Defect Repair

Congcong Li, Jianing Nie, Lifang Feng*

North China University of Science and Technology, Tangshan 063000, China

Abstract

Oral and maxillofacial bone defects, resulting from trauma, congenital malformations, or surgical resections, present a significant challenge in the field of dentistry and craniofacial surgery. These defects not only compromise the patient's aesthetics but also impair their functional capacities. The development of novel materials, techniques, and technologies has revolutionized the management of such defects, offering more effective and less invasive alternatives to traditional methods. This review aims to provide an overview of the latest advancements in the field, focusing on the properties, advantages, limitations, and clinical applications of emerging materials and techniques for oral and maxillofacial bone defect repair.

Keywords

Oral and Maxillofacial Bone Defect Repair; Novel materials; New Methods.

1. Introduction

Oral and maxillofacial bone defects, caused by various etiologies such as trauma, tumor resection, or congenital anomalies, can significantly impact facial aesthetics, function, and patient quality of life. Traditional treatment options for jaw defects have included autogenous bone grafting, allografts, and alloplastic materials. However, these approaches are often limited by donor site morbidity, availability issues, and poor integration with host tissue [1].

Therefore, there is a pressing need for the development of innovative materials, methods, and technologies for more efficient and effective bone defect repair in the oral and maxillofacial region. This review aims to summarize the latest advancements in this field.

2. Novel Materials for Bone Defect Repair

2.1 Biodegradable Polymers

Biodegradable polymers, such as polylactic acid (PLA), polyglycolic acid (PGA), and poly (lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA), have gained considerable attention due to their biocompatibility, biodegradability, and osteoconductive properties. These materials can be designed with tailored degradation rates to match the healing process of the bone defect. For instance, PGA is rapidly absorbed, while PLA provides a slower degradation rate. Recent studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of these polymers in promoting bone regeneration in animal models [2] [3] [4] [5].

2.2 Calcium Phosphates

Calcium phosphates, such as hydroxyapatite (HA) and tricalcium phosphate (TCP), mimic natural bone composition and have excellent biocompatibility. HA and TCP have been extensively used in bone repair due to their chemical similarity to natural bone mineral and excellent osteoconductivity. Recently, efforts have been made to improve their mechanical properties and bioactivity by doping with various elements or creating composites with other materials such as collagen or growth factors [2] [4] [5] [6]. These modifications have shown promising results in enhancing bone regeneration in oral

and maxillofacial defects. They can either be used as bulk fillers or incorporated into scaffolds to promote bone regeneration^{[7][8][9]}. HA has been extensively studied for its osteoconductive properties, while TCP is osteoinductive due to its ability to resorb and stimulate new bone formation^{[10][11][12]}.

2.3 Bioceramics and Glass-Ceramics

Bioceramics like alumina, zirconia, and glass-ceramics possess excellent mechanical properties and biocompatibility^[13]. They are often used in conjunction with bioactive molecules to enhance osteointegration. Glass-ceramics like bioactive glass have been shown to stimulate angiogenesis and osteoblast proliferation, making them promising candidates for bone defect repair^{[14][15]}.

2.4 Synthetic Polymers and Biodegradable Composites

Synthetic polymers like polyetherimide (PEI) and polyurethane (PU) are combined with inorganic particles or fibers to create composites with enhanced mechanical properties and biocompatibility. These composites can be tailored to match the specific needs of the defect site^{[16][17][18]}.

A combination of biodegradable polymers with ceramics or natural materials like collagen, chitosan, or even growth factors have led to the development of advanced composites with enhanced mechanical properties, biocompatibility, and osteoconductivity. These composites can be tailored to the specific needs of the defect site, offering a more personalized approach to bone repair^[19].

3. New Methods for Bone Defect Repair

3.1 Three-Dimensional Printing (3D Printing) in Bone Regeneration

3D printing has revolutionized the field of oral and maxillofacial surgery by enabling the fabrication of customized implants and scaffolds that closely match the anatomical contours of the defect site. This technology allows for precise control over the design of the implant, including its geometry, porosity, and composition. 3D printing has been successfully used in the fabrication of custom-made bone grafts, scaffolds for guided bone regeneration, and even implant frameworks for immediate function restoration^{[20][21]}.

3.2 Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine

Tissue engineering involves the use of living cells, scaffolds, and growth factors to generate functional tissue or organ replacements. In the context of oral and maxillofacial bone defects, this approach has focused on using autologous or allogeneic cells seeded onto biocompatible scaffolds to promote bone regeneration. Recent advancements in stem cell therapy have further enhanced the potential of this technique by harnessing the inherent regenerative capacity of these cells^{[19][22][23]}.

3.3 Guided Bone Regeneration (GBR)

Guided bone regeneration techniques involve the use of barriers to isolate the wound area from the surrounding tissues during healing. These barriers allow for the selective migration of osteogenic cells into the defect site while preventing the entry of non-osteogenic cells. The use of these barriers has been shown to significantly improve bone regeneration in various animal models^{[24][25]}.

Additionally, the combination of GBR with growth factors or stem cell therapy has further enhanced the efficacy of these techniques

3.4 Gene Therapy

Gene therapy has emerged as a promising new method for treating bone defects by introducing genes that encode for growth factors or proteins that promote bone formation into the defect site. This approach aims to stimulate endogenous bone regeneration by enhancing the activity of osteoblasts, the cells responsible for bone formation. Early clinical trials have shown promising results in stimulating bone growth in non-union fractures and osteoporotic defects^{[26][27][28]}.

3.5 Stem Cell Therapy

Stem cell therapy has shown great potential in promoting bone regeneration due to its ability to differentiate into various cell types, including osteoblasts. Studies have demonstrated that the use of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) in conjunction with synthetic grafts can significantly enhance bone formation and reduce healing time ^[29].

Furthermore, the application of 3D bioprinting techniques has enabled the creation of scaffolds seeded with MSCs, providing a more biologically active environment for bone regeneration ^[21].

4. New Technologies for Enhanced Outcomes

4.1 Implant Stability Measurement Techniques (ISM)

ISM technologies such as resonance frequency analysis (RFA) and piezoelectric impedance analysis (PIA) provide real-time monitoring of implant stability during healing. These techniques allow for early detection of implant instability or failure, enabling timely intervention and improved outcomes ^[30]. They are particularly useful in cases where immediate loading is required or when monitoring long-term integration is crucial ^{[31][32]}.

4.2 Computer-Assisted Surgery (CAS)

CAS technologies leverage 3D imaging and planning software to create precise surgical guides and templates for implant placement or bone grafting procedures. This approach minimizes surgical errors, improves accuracy, and reduces operating time. CAS has been shown to enhance the aesthetic outcomes and functional restoration in oral and maxillofacial surgeries ^{[33][34]}.

5. Conclusion

The field of oral and maxillofacial bone defect repair is rapidly evolving, with novel materials, methods, and technologies offering new avenues for improved treatment outcomes. Biomaterials like polymers, ceramics, and composites are gaining prominence due to their osteoconductive and osteoinductive properties. Synthetic bone graft substitutes offer a convenient solution for creating customized implants. 3D printing technology has revolutionized implant design by enabling the creation of custom-made implants that closely match the geometry of the defect. Guided bone regeneration techniques combined with stem cell therapy have shown great potential in enhancing bone regeneration. As these technologies continue to mature, they hold the promise of more efficient and effective treatments for oral and maxillofacial bone defects.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Hebei Natural Science Foundation (grant number H2020209156) and the North China University of Science and Technology Postgraduate Innovation Project (grant number 2024S33).

References

- [1] Fillingham Y, Jacobs J. Bone grafts and their substitutes. *Bone Joint J*, 2016, 98-B (1 Suppl A): 6-9.
- [2] Ramanathan M, Shijirbold A, Okui T, et al. In Vivo Evaluation of Bone Regenerative Capacity of the Novel Nanobiomaterial: β -Tricalcium Phosphate Poly(lactic acid-co-glycolide) (β -TCP/PLLA/PGLA) for Use in Maxillofacial Bone Defects. *NANOMATERIALS*, 2024, 14(1):91.
- [3] Henning S, Herbert A W, Christian D, et al. Mandibular bone repair by implantation of rhBMP-2 in a slow release carrier of poly(lactic acid)--an experimental study in rats. *Biomaterials*, 2008, 29(1): 103-110.
- [4] Lantz O, Menginlecreulx D, Schmidt F, et al. Preparation and characterization of the composites of amino-modified artificial jaw nano-hydroxyapatite/ poly(lactic acid). *Chinese Journal of Tissue Engineering Research*, 2022, 26(20): 3319-3326.

- [5] Juan G G Q, Juan Y D R, Carlos A M V, et al. Critical-sized mandibular defect reconstruction using human dental pulp stem cells in a xenograft model-clinical, radiological, and histological evaluation, *Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, 2020, 24(4): 485-493.
- [6] Daphne S, Harald E, Adib A H H, et al. Patient-specific beta-tricalcium phosphate scaffold for customized alveolar ridge augmentation: a case report: Case Report: patient-specific β -TCP scaffold for alveolar ridge CBR. *International journal of implant dentistry*, 2024, 10(1):21.
- [7] Umrath F, Schmitt L F, Kliesch S M, et al. Mechanical and Functional Improvement of β -TCP Scaffolds for Use in Bone Tissue Engineering. *Journal of functional biomaterials*, 2023, 14(8): 427.
- [8] Lee U. OR281 – reconstruction of facial bone defect using resorbable patient-specific three-dimensionally printed polycaprolactone/beta-tricalcium phosphate exterior scaffold and autogenous bone. *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, 2024, 52(2): 103-104.
- [9] Zhu Hong, Lin Ziheng, Luan Qifei, et al. Angiogenesis-promoting composite TPMS bone tissue engineering scaffold for mandibular defect regeneration, *International Journal of Bioprinting*, 2024, 10(1): 459-476.
- [10] Simon S, Elisabeth C, Séverine C, et al. An innovative 3D hydroxyapatite patient-specific implant for maxillofacial bone reconstruction: A case series of 13 patients. *Journal of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery*, 2024, 52(4): 420-431.
- [11] Zhao B D, Hao X, Wang W X, et al. Single Immediate Implant Placement into Anterior Maxillary Sockets with Facial Bone Defects: A 5-Year Retrospective Study. *The International journal of oral & maxillofacial implants*, 2023, 38(2): 374-380.
- [12] Roberto R, Elisabetta C, Fabrizio B, et al. The Use of Nano-Hydroxyapatite (NH) for Socket Preservation: Communication of an Upcoming Multicenter Study with the Presentation of a Pilot Case Report, *Medicina (Kaunas, Lithuania)*, 2023, 59(11): 1978.
- [13] Maarten V, Anne-Laure V, Joris G, et al. Reconstruction of Craniomaxillofacial Bone Defects with 3D-Printed Bioceramic Implants: Scoping Review and Clinical Case Series. *Journal of clinical medicine*, 2024, 13(10): 2805.
- [14] Ma M R, Shen W J, Li B B, et al. Optimization of a concentrated growth factor/mesoporous bioactive glass composite scaffold and its application in rabbit mandible defect regeneration. *Biomaterials Science*, 2023, 11(18): 6357-6372.
- [15] Miguel M V, Felipe C, Monserrat C, et al. Preparation and osteogenic properties of nanocomposite hydrogel beads loaded with nanometric bioactive glass particles. *Biomedical materials (Bristol, England)*, 2021, 16(4): 045043.
- [16] Ramsha I, nAns A R, Refik P, et al. Numerical study on effect of process parameters on material extrusion 3D printing (ME3DP) for porous bone tissue engineering scaffolds. *Results in Engineering*, 2024, 22: 102046.
- [17] Xu X Y, Wang H, Zhang S L, et al. ECM-inspired 3D printed polyetherimide scaffold with Arg-Gly-Asp peptides for the improvement of bioactivity and osteogenic differentiation of osteoblasts. *Materials Today Communications*, 2022, 30(Suppl C): 103166.
- [18] Fang C C, Chou Y S, Lee T M, et al. The Uniform Distribution of Hydroxyapatite in a Polyurethane Foam-Based Scaffold (PU/HAp) to Enhance Bone Repair in a Calvarial Defect Model. *International journal of molecular sciences*, 2024, 25(12): 6440.
- [19] Sadra M, Helia S H B, Hanieh N, et al. Application of Biodegradable Patient-Specific Scaffolds for Maxillofacial Bone Regeneration: A Scoping Review of Clinical Studies. *British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, 2023, 61(9): 587-597.
- [20] Michaela M, Céline T, Michael D W, et al. Patient-specific implants made of 3D printed bioresorbable polymers at the point-of-care: material, technology, and scope of surgical application, *3D printing in medicine*, 2024, 10(1): 13.
- [21] SunX D, Mao Y P, Liu B B, et al. Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived Exosomes Enhance 3D-Printed Scaffold Functions and Promote Alveolar Bone Defect Repair by Enhancing Angiogenesis. *Journal of personalized medicine*, 2023, 13(2): 180.

- [22] Peña G, Gallego L, Redondo L M, Comparative analysis of plasma-derived albumin scaffold, alveolar osteoblasts and synthetic membrane in critical mandibular bone defects: An experimental study on rats. *Journal of biomaterials applications*, 2021, 36(3): 481-491.
- [23] Shao X X, Wu Y L, Ding M C, et al. Strong and tough β -TCP/PCL composite scaffolds with gradient structure for bone tissue engineering: Development and evaluation. *Ceramics International*, 2024, 50(No.18Part A): 31905-31917.
- [24] Park D H, Jun J H, Yun S H, et al. Semi-customized three-dimensional ultra-fine titanium meshes in guided bone regeneration for implant therapy in severe alveolar bone defect: a case report. *International journal of implant dentistry*, 2024, 10(1): 17.
- [25] Do W S, Kim, Y G, Suh J Y, et al. Retrograde Study Using Radiographic Comparison of Bone Fill Observed after Guided Tissue Regeneration by the Type of Periodontal Bone Defect. *APPLIED SCIENCES-BASEL*, 2024, 14(1): 179.
- [26] Fliefel R, Kühnisch J, Ehrenfeld M, et al. Gene Therapy for Bone Defects in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Animal Studies. *Stem cells and development*, 2017, 26(4): 215-230.
- [27] Valeriya S K, Andrey V V, Tatiana B B, et al. Application of BMP-2 and its gene delivery vehicles in dentistry. *The Saudi Dental Journal*, 2024, 26(6): 855-862.
- [28] Olga K, Anna Y, Sergey I, et al. Gene-Activated Materials in Regenerative Dentistry: Narrative Review of Technology and Study Results. *International journal of molecular sciences*, 2023, 24(22): 16250.
- [29] Al-Hamad K A, Shanab H G, Gaballah O M, et al. Potential therapeutic applications of mesenchymal stem cells in the oral and maxillofacial tissues. *European review for medical and pharmacological sciences*, 2023, 27(13): 6006-6017.
- [30] Mahvish W K, Naveed I, Muhammad S Z, et al. A resonance frequency analysis to investigate the impact of implant size on primary and secondary stability. *Pakistan journal of medical sciences*, 2024, 40(6): 1261-1266.
- [31] Vincenzo M, Chiara C, Giacomo D, et al. Resonance Frequency Analysis to Assess the Stability of Immediate Implants: A Retrospective Clinical Trial. *The International journal of periodontics & restorative dentistry*, 2023, 43(4): e165-e172.
- [32] Peter H, Edward M, Michael N, et al. A longitudinal analysis of the impact of nonsurgical and surgical treatment of peri-implantitis upon clinical parameters and implant stability quotient values. A 2-3-year follow-up. *Clinical and experimental dental research*, 202410(1): e833.
- [33] Jie B M, Han B X, Yao B C, et al. Automatic virtual reconstruction of maxillofacial bone defects assisted by ICP (iterative closest point) algorithm and normal people database. *Clinical Oral Investigations*, 2022, 26(2): 2005-2014.
- [34] Unger S, Benic G I, Ender A, et al. Computer-assisted bone augmentation, implant planning and placement: An in vitro investigation. *Clinical oral implants research*, 2023, 34(7): 719-726.