ISSN: 2688-9323

Evaluation of Oil and Gas Field Projects: A Comprehensive Review

Jie Ren*, Yi Yuan, and Shiyu Chen

School of Economics and Management, Southwest Petroleum University, Chengdu 610500, China

*Corresponding author email: zoegreen0820@163.com

Abstract

Oil and gas field projects play a crucial role in global energy production and economic development. Their evaluation, however, requires a comprehensive framework that integrates financial feasibility, technical complexity, environmental sustainability, and risk mitigation. This article synthesizes existing methodologies, theoretical foundations, and practical applications in oil and gas project assessment, with a focus on advancements in economic modeling, environmental impact evaluation, and the adoption of emerging technologies such as machine learning. Key gaps in current evaluation practices are identified, and potential improvements are proposed to enhance assessment frameworks. By consolidating insights from academia and industry, it serves as a valuable resource for promoting more sustainable and efficient oil and gas project development.

Keywords

Oil and Gas; Evaluation; Oil and Gas Field Project Evaluation.

1. Introduction

Oil and gas field projects remain fundamental to global energy security and economic prosperity. However, the limitations of conventional financial evaluation metrics-such as Net Present Value (NPV) and Internal Rate of Return (IRR)-have become increasingly apparent, as they fail to account for broader sustainability dimensions. The escalating concerns surrounding environmental degradation, resource depletion, and social responsibility have necessitated the adoption of more comprehensive assessment frameworks that extend beyond purely economic considerations. In response, contemporary evaluation methodologies have evolved to integrate multidimensional sustainability criteria, fostering a more holistic approach to decision-making in the sector[1].

Sustainability has emerged as a central tenet in modern oil and gas project evaluation, prompting the widespread incorporation of Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA) and Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) into project appraisal processes. These methodologies facilitate a deeper understanding of long-term ecological consequences, encompassing greenhouse gas emissions, habitat disruption, and waste management strategies. By offering a life-cycle perspective, such tools enable project managers and stakeholders to systematically assess and mitigate environmental risks at every stage of development[2]. Concurrently, social sustainability considerations have gained prominence, with corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives and stakeholder engagement frameworks increasingly shaping project planning and execution. These mechanisms underscore the necessity of aligning industrial activities with societal expectations, fostering greater transparency, and ensuring equitable benefit distribution.[3].

Technological advancements, particularly in machine learning and big data analytics, have further transformed the landscape of oil and gas project evaluation. These innovations enhance predictive modeling, optimize risk assessment, and improve real-time decision-making by

ISSN: 2688-9323

leveraging vast datasets and computational intelligence. The ability to dynamically evaluate uncertainties and operational efficiencies represents a significant leap forward in project assessment methodologies[4]. However, despite their transformative potential, the widespread adoption of these technologies remains hindered by substantial implementation costs, data accessibility challenges, and the requisite expertise for effective deployment.

This article synthesizes recent advancements in oil and gas project evaluation, emphasizing the convergence of economic, environmental, and social dimensions. Additionally, it examines the role of emerging technologies and identifies persistent gaps within existing assessment frameworks. By providing a comprehensive and critical analysis, it seeks to contribute to the development of more sustainable, adaptive, and resilient evaluation models that can better navigate the complexities of contemporary energy projects.

2. Evaluation Dimensions in Oil and Gas Projects

2.1. Economic Evaluation Models

Economic evaluation models constitute a fundamental pillar in the assessment of oil and gas projects, providing a structured approach to financial feasibility analysis. Traditional methods, such as Net Present Value (NPV) and Internal Rate of Return (IRR), continue to be widely utilized due to their computational efficiency and ability to incorporate projected cash flows. However, these models frequently fail to capture the complexities of market volatility and operational risks. To address these limitations, probabilistic approaches, such as Monte Carlo simulations, have been increasingly adopted to enhance risk assessment. For instance, Wicaksono & Arshad (2023) embedded Monte Carlo simulation in the economic analysis of production sharing contracts (PSC) to quantify the investment return uncertainty of oil and gas projects. Although this study improves the accuracy of economic evaluations, it does not cover the potential impact of climate change policies on future oil and gas investment returns[5].

2.2. Environmental Impact Assessments

Amid growing environmental scrutiny and increasingly stringent regulatory requirements, Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA) have become an essential component of oil and gas project evaluations. Analytical techniques such as Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) offer a comprehensive perspective on the environmental footprint of these projects, encompassing all stages from exploration to decommissioning. Zhang et al. (2023) highlighted the value of integrating LCA into project assessments, demonstrating its effectiveness in quantifying greenhouse gas emissions and broader ecological impacts to support more informed decision-making[6]. However, a key challenge in current EIA practices lies in methodological inconsistencies and the absence of standardized environmental datasets across regions. Addressing these gaps requires further research to establish globally harmonized assessment frameworks and enhance the integration of environmental considerations into economic evaluation models.

2.3. Technological Innovations in Evaluation

Technological advancements are fundamentally transforming the landscape of oil and gas project evaluations. For instance, machine learning and big data analytics are increasingly leveraged for predictive modeling and operational optimization, enabling real-time data processing that enhances the precision of risk assessments and improves project performance forecasting. Additionally, blockchain technology has emerged as a promising tool for ensuring data integrity and transparency, particularly in complex, multi-stakeholder environments where trust and accountability are paramount. Despite their transformative potential, the widespread adoption of these technologies is hindered by high implementation costs and the demand for specialized expertise. Wang et al. (2018) underscored the role of geothermal energy extraction as an innovative application in oilfield management, illustrating the broader significance of technological integration in enhancing the efficiency and reliability of project evaluations[7].

2.4. Social and Policy Considerations

Social dynamics and regulatory frameworks are critical determinants of oil and gas project outcomes, influencing both operational feasibility and long-term sustainability. Community engagement, labor standards, and regulatory compliance are increasingly recognized as fundamental components of project success, ensuring alignment with societal expectations and governance structures. Emeka-Okoli et al. (2024) examined the incorporation of the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) into oil and gas operations, highlighting their role in promoting global sustainability objectives and fostering responsible industry practices[8]. However, a key limitation in current evaluation methodologies is the lack of standardized and universally accepted metrics for assessing social impacts, underscoring the need for more comprehensive and quantifiable assessment frameworks to bridge this gap.

2.5. Risk Management and Uncertainty Analysis

Risk management and uncertainty analysis are integral to oil and gas project evaluations, given the intrinsically complex and volatile nature of the industry. Traditional risk assessment approaches, such as sensitivity analysis and probabilistic modeling, have been widely employed to quantify and mitigate uncertainties. Suda et al. (2015) highlighted the effectiveness of probabilistic techniques, particularly Monte Carlo simulations, in evaluating financial risks by modeling multiple potential scenarios[9]. Similarly, Cheng et al. (2019) introduced a Value at Risk (VaR) framework for quantifying financial uncertainty in international oil and gas projects, enhancing decision-making by incorporating risk distribution dynamics[10]. More recently, advancements in artificial intelligence (AI)-driven models have further refined risk assessment processes, enabling dynamic, real-time adaptive risk management[11]. These methodological developments facilitate more accurate performance predictions under uncertain conditions, ultimately contributing to higher project success rates and improved strategic planning.

2.6. Comprehensive Decision-Making in Oil and Gas Projects

Comprehensive decision-making in oil and gas projects requires balancing economic, environmental, social, technological, and risk management factors. Traditional methods like Net Present Value (NPV) and Internal Rate of Return (IRR) focus mainly on financial feasibility, often overlooking sustainability. Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA), particularly Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP), helps address this by optimizing trade-offs between multiple evaluation criteria. Zhou et al. (2024) showed that AHP improves transparency in decommissioning decisions, especially for environmentally risky projects[12]. Furthermore, Li & Hu (2022) highlighted the use of MCDA and machine learning to enhance decision-making for offshore decommissioning[13]. Sarfaraz et al. (2022) proposed a Fuzzy Logic-based framework for evaluating success factors in oil and gas projects, showcasing AI's role in improving project feasibility analysis[14]. Despite progress, challenges like expert subjectivity and inconsistent data quality persist. Future research should focus on refining MCDA models and improving data transparency.

3. Limitations of Current Evaluation Practices

Despite notable advancements in evaluation methodologies, significant challenges remain in the assessment of oil and gas projects. Traditional economic models, such as Net Present Value (NPV) and Internal Rate of Return (IRR), continue to be heavily financially driven, often failing to account for externalities related to environmental degradation and social disruption. These

ISSN: 2688-9323

models do not fully incorporate the long-term ecological costs or the broader societal impacts of oil and gas operations, leading to an incomplete representation of project viability. Additionally, the volatile nature of global oil markets introduces substantial complexities that are inadequately addressed by static evaluation frameworks, limiting the accuracy and predictive reliability of financial assessments. To address some of these uncertainties, probabilistic approaches such as Monte Carlo simulations have been integrated into evaluation practices. However, their effectiveness remains contingent on the availability of extensive, high-quality datasets, which may not always be accessible or consistently reliable. Furthermore, while environmental assessments have become increasingly incorporated into project evaluations, a lack of standardization across different regions has led to methodological inconsistencies, ultimately compromising the comparability and robustness of sustainability assessments. This disparity in evaluation criteria results in inconsistent benchmarks, making it difficult to establish universally applicable sustainability metrics. Kraidi et al. (2018) emphasized the necessity of comprehensive risk management frameworks, particularly for large-scale oil and gas pipeline projects, as a means of mitigating operational uncertainties[15]. However, existing frameworks remain inadequate in fully addressing the full spectrum of potential risks, underscoring the need for more integrated and adaptive evaluation methodologies.

4. Future Research Directions and Recommendations

Emerging technologies are reshaping oil and gas project evaluation, offering innovative solutions to long-standing limitations in traditional assessment methods. For instance, machine learning has shown promise in refining predictive reservoir modeling and streamlining operational processes, leading to more precise risk assessments and improved decision-making. Likewise, blockchain technology is gaining traction as a tool for enhancing data transparency and security, fostering greater trust and accountability among stakeholders. Early pilot projects have demonstrated blockchain's effectiveness in tracking carbon credits and monitoring supply chains, highlighting its potential for reinforcing compliance with sustainability standards. However, the widespread adoption of these technologies faces significant hurdles, including high implementation costs and a shortage of specialized expertise, particularly in smaller and mid-sized operations. To unlock their full potential, future research should explore scalable solutions that make these technologies more accessible and cost-effective across the industry. Additionally, integrating technological advancements with insights from social sciences could provide a more holistic framework for evaluating projects, accounting for economic, environmental, and social factors in a balanced manner. Establishing standardized guidelines for incorporating these tools across different regulatory environments will also be crucial in ensuring consistent and meaningful applications. Overcoming these challenges could pave the way for more accurate, sustainable, and globally comparable project evaluations, ultimately improving long-term industry resilience and decision-making.

5. Conclusion

This article traces the evolution of evaluation methodologies for oil and gas projects, emphasizing the growing need for integrated approaches that encompass economic, environmental, and social dimensions to navigate the complexities of the modern energy landscape. While traditional financial models, such as Net Present Value (NPV) and Internal Rate of Return (IRR), remain foundational in project assessments, their inability to fully capture uncertainties and externalities has underscored the necessity of more advanced techniques, including probabilistic modeling and Life Cycle Analysis (LCA). The incorporation of emerging technologies, such as machine learning and blockchain, further enhances predictive precision,

ISSN: 2688-9323

operational efficiency, and transparency. However, challenges related to cost, scalability, and the availability of specialized expertise continue to hinder widespread adoption. It underscores the importance of harmonizing evaluation frameworks with global sustainability imperatives, ensuring that industry practices align with broader objectives such as decarbonization and social responsibility lastly. Moving forward, research efforts should focus on developing interdisciplinary, technology-driven, and globally standardized evaluation models, fostering collaboration between academia, industry, and policymakers. Such a collective approach will be crucial in ensuring that oil and gas project evaluations remain sustainable, efficient, and adaptable in an ever-evolving energy landscape.

References

[1] Michaelides, R., Bryde, D., & Ohaeri, U. Sustainability from a project management perspective: Are oil and gas supply chains ready to embed sustainability in their projects?[J]. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2014.

[2] Santoyo-Castelazo, E., & Azapagic, A. Sustainability assessment of energy systems: integrating environmental, economic and social aspects[J]. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2014, 80: 119-138.

[3] Alagoz, E. Sustainable Development in the Oil and Gas Sector: Considering Economic, Environmental, and Social Aspects[J]. International Journal of Earth Sciences Knowledge and Applications, 2023, 5(2): 303-308.

[4] Ahsan W ,Idris O ,Nasir S .Applications of AI in oil and gas projects towards sustainable development: a systematic literature review.[J].Artificial intelligence review,2023,56(11):21-28..

[5] Wicaksono, F. D., & Arshad, Y. B. Monte Carlo Net Present Value for Techno-Economic Analysis of Oil and Gas Production Sharing Contract[J]. International Journal of Technology , 2019, 10(4) : 829-840.

[6] Zhang, M., Jia, A., Lei, Z., & Lei, G. A comprehensive asset evaluation method for oil and gas projects[J]. Processes, 2023, 11(8): 2398.

[7] Wang, K., Yuan, B., Ji, G., & Wu, X. A comprehensive review of geothermal energy extraction and utilization in oilfields[J]. Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 2018, 168: 465-477.

[8] Emeka-Okoli, S., Nwankwo, T.C., & Otonnah, C.A. Integrating sustainable development goals into oil & gas operations: A comprehensive review[J]. International Journal of Environmental Research, 2024, 6(3), 660-677.

[9] Suda, K. A., Rani, N. S. A., & Rahman, H. A. A review on risks and project risks management in the oil and gas industry[J]. International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, 2015, 6(8): 938-943..

[10] Cheng, C., Ren, X. H., & Liu, M. R. Risk measurement of international oil and gas projects based on the Value at Risk method[J]. Petroleum Science, 2019, 16(1): 199-216..

[11] Kassem, M. A., Khoiry, M. A., & Hamzah, N. Risk factors in oil and gas construction projects in developing coun tries: A case study[J]. International Journal of Energy Sector Management, 2019, 13(4): 846-861.

[12] Zhou, J., & Wei, X. Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis for Sustainable Oil and Gas Infrastructure Decommissioning: A Systematic Review of Criteria Involved in the Process[J]. Sustainability, 2024, 16(16): 7205.

[13] Li, Y., & Hu, Z. A review of multi-attributes decision-making models for offshore oil and gas facilities decommissioning[J]. Journal of Ocean Engineering and Science, 2022, 7(1): 58-74.

[14] Sarfaraz, A. H., Yazdi, A. K., & Hanne, T. A Decision Support System for Evaluating Success Factors of Projects in the Oil and Gas Industry[J]. Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 2022, 2022(1): 6358562.

[15] Kraidi, L., Shah, R.K., & Matipa, W. An analysis of the critical risk factors in oil and gas pipeline projects using a comprehensive risk management framework[C]. ARCOM Conference Proceedings, 2018.